Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/660,139	HSU ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Leon Y. Lum	1641
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) <u>Leon Y. Lum</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Jiawei Huang</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 31 July 2006	Time: <u>~11:00 am EST</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: N/A		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
\mathcal{M}		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	pplicant/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In response to the Examiner's inquiry on the application's status, Applicants' representative left a message on the Examiner's voicemail stating that a reply to the Office Action mailed January 24, 2006 has not been sent and that the application can be abandoned..